UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

POSITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

BENQ AMERICA CORP., FUJITSU
GENERAL AMERICA, INC., JVC
AMERICAS CORP., MITSUBISHI
DIGITAL ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., NEC DISPLAY SOLUTIONS OF
AMERICA, INC., PANASONIC CORP. OF
NORTH AMERICA, PHILIPS
ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA
CORP., PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
REGENT U.S.A., INC., SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SHARP
ELECTRONICS CORP., TOSHIBA
AMERICA CONSUMER PRODUCTS,
L.L.C., AND V, INC.,

CASE NO. 2:07cv67-TJW Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Positive Technologies, Inc. ("Positive Technologies") complains against Defendants BenQ America Corp. ("BenQ"), Fujitsu General America, Inc. ("Fujitsu"), JVC Americas Corp. ("JVC"), Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Inc. ("Mitsubishi"), NEC Display Solutions of America, Inc. ("NEC"), Panasonic Corp. of North America ("Panasonic"), Philips Electronics North America Corp. ("Philips"), ProView Technology, Inc. ("ProView"), Regent U.S.A., Inc. ("Regent"), Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung"), Sharp Electronics Corp. ("Sharp"), Toshiba America Consumer Products, L.L.C. ("Toshiba Consumer Products"), and V. Inc. ("V. Inc.") as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.

- 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States (Title 35 of the United States Code). The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in that each of them has committed acts within Texas and this judicial district which give rise to this action and each of the Defendants has established minimum contacts with the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over these Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 2. Each of the Defendants has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, including making sales, offering for sale and providing service and support to their respective customers in this district. Accordingly, venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and § 1400.

II. THE PARTIES.

- 3. Plaintiff Positive Technologies is a corporation organized under the laws of California. Through its founder, Robert Hotto, Positive Technologies pioneered the development of several technologies in the field of adaptive displays used in the plasma and LCD display fields. On March 19, 2007, Plaintiff merged with Positive Technologies, a Nevada corporation, and is the surviving entity and successor in interest thereto.
- 4. Defendant BenQ is a corporation organized under the laws of California, having its principal place of business in California. BenQ is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. BenQ's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.

- 5. Defendant Fujitsu is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. Fujitsu is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. Fujitsu's foreign corporation registration lists Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, located at 701 Brazos Street, Suite 1050, Austin, TX 78701, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 6. Defendant JVC is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. JVC is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. JVC's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 7. Defendant Mitsubishi is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in California. Mitsubishi is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. Mitsubishi's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 8. Defendant NEC is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in Illinois. NEC is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. NEC's corporate registration lists The Corporation

Trust Company, located at Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, as its registered agent for service of process.

- 9. Defendant Panasonic is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. Panasonic is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. Panasonic's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 10. Defendant Philips is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business in New York. Philips is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. Philips' corporate registration lists Corporation Service Company, located at 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 11. Defendant ProView is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of California, having its principal place of business in California. ProView is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas, and elsewhere throughout the United States. ProView's foreign corporation registration lists Rose Melandez, located at 10849 Kinghurst Drive, Suite 120, Houston, TX 77099, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 12. Defendant Regent is a corporation organized under the laws of California, having its principal place of business in California, and doing business in this judicial district, in Texas and elsewhere throughout the United States. Regent's corporate registration lists

James Chen, located at 1208 John Reed Ct., City of Industry, CA 91745, as its registered agent for service of process.

- 13. Defendant Samsung is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. Samsung is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas, and elsewhere throughout the United States. Samsung's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 14. Defendant Sharp is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. Sharp is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas, and elsewhere throughout the United States. Sharp's foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 15. Defendant Toshiba Consumer Products is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of New Jersey, having its principal place of business in New Jersey. Toshiba Consumer Products is registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Texas and is doing business in this judicial district, in Texas, and elsewhere throughout the United States. Toshiba Consumer Products' foreign corporation registration lists CT Corporation System, located at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Dallas, TX 75201, as its registered agent for service of process.
- 16. Defendant V, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of California, having its principal place of business in California and doing business in this judicial district,

in Texas, and elsewhere throughout the United States. V, Inc.'s corporate registration lists William Wang, located at 320A Kalmus Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, as its registered agent for service of process.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.

A. The Patents-in-Suit.

- 17. On January 18, 1994, United States Patent No. 5,280,280 (the "'280 Patent") entitled "DC Integrating Display Driver Employing Pixel Status Memories" issued to Robert Hotto. (A copy of the '280 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.) The entire right, title and interest to the '280 Patent has been assigned to Positive Technologies. Positive Technologies is now, and by and through its merger at all relative times has been, the owner and possessor of all rights pertaining to the '280 Patent.
- 18. On August 22, 1995, United States Patent No. 5,444,457 (the "'457 Patent") entitled "DC Integrating Display Driver Employing Pixel Status Memories" issued to Robert Hotto. (A copy of the '457 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.) The entire right, title and interest to the '457 Patent has been assigned to Positive Technologies. Positive Technologies is now, and by and through its merger at all relative times has been, the owner and possessor of all rights pertaining to the '457 Patent.
- 19. On May 6, 1997, United States Patent No. 5,627,558 (the "'558 Patent") entitled "DC Interating [sic] Display Driver Employing Pixel Status Memories" issued to Robert Hotto. (A copy of the '558 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.) The entire right, title and interest to the '558 Patent has been assigned to Positive Technologies. Positive Technologies is now, and by and through its merger at all relative times has been, the owner and possessor of all rights pertaining to the '558 Patent.

20. On November 3, 1998, United States Patent No. 5,831,588 (the "'588 Patent") entitled "DC Integrating Display Driver Employing Pixel Status Memories" issued to Robert Hotto. (A copy of the '588 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.) The entire right, title and interest to the '588 Patent has been assigned to Positive Technologies. Positive Technologies is now, and by and through its merger at all relative times has been, the owner and possessor of all rights pertaining to the '588 Patent.

B. Infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

1. Infringement of the '280 Patent.

- 21. Defendants BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Toshiba Consumer Products and V, Inc. (the "'280 Defendants") manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or market several types of consumer electronics, including but not limited to televisions and monitors using an LCD display panel (the "'280 Accused Products").
- 22. The '280 Defendants are and have been infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and inducing others to infringe one or more of the claims of the '280 Patent through the unauthorized manufacture, use, sale and offering for sale of the '280 Accused Products within the United States.
- 23. The '280 Defendants are infringing, inducing the infringement of, and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '280 Patent.
- 24. On information and belief, the '280 Accused Products perform in a normal course of operation each and every step recited in one or more of the claims of the '280 Patent.

2. Infringement of the '457 Patent.

- 25. Defendants BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Sharp, Toshiba Consumer Products and V, Inc. (the "457 Defendants") manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or market several types of consumer electronics, including but not limited to televisions and monitors using an LCD display panel (the "457 Accused Products").
- 26. The '457 Defendants are and have been infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and inducing others to infringe one or more of the claims of the '457 Patent through the unauthorized manufacture, use, sale and offering for sale of the '457 Accused Products within the United States.
- 27. The '457 Defendants are infringing, inducing the infringement of, and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '457 Patent.
- 28. On information and belief, the '457 Accused Products perform in a normal course of operation each and every step recited in one or more of the claims of the '457 Patent.

3. Infringement of the '558 Patent.

- 29. Defendants BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Sharp, Toshiba Consumer Products and V, Inc. (the "'558 Defendants") manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or market several types of consumer electronics, including but not limited to televisions and monitors using an LCD display panel (the "'558 Accused Products").
- 30. The '558 Defendants are and have been infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and inducing others to infringe one or more of the claims of the '558 Patent

through the unauthorized manufacture, use, sale and offering for sale of the '558 Accused Products within the United States.

- 31. The '558 Defendants are infringing, inducing the infringement of, and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '558 Patent.
- 32. On information and belief, the '558 Accused Products perform in a normal course of operation each and every step recited in one or more of the claims of the '558 Patent.

4. Infringement of the '588 Patent.

- 33. Defendants Fujitsu, JVC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, , and Toshiba Consumer Products (the "'588 Defendants") manufacture, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or market several types of consumer electronics, including but not limited to televisions using a plasma display (the "'588 Accused Products").
- 34. The '588 Defendants are and have been infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and inducing others to infringe one or more of the claims of the '588 Patent through the unauthorized manufacture, use, sale and offering for sale of '588 Accused Products within the United States.
- 35. The '588 Defendants are infringing, inducing the infringement of, and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '588 Patent.
- 36. On information and belief, the '588 Accused Products perform in a normal course of operation each and every step recited in one or more of the claims of the '588 Patent.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '280 PATENT) Against BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Toshiba Consumer Products, and V, Inc.

- 37. Positive Technologies realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 36.
- 38. The '280 Defendants have directly and contributorily infringed, and have induced others to infringe, one or more of the claims of the '280 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products within this district and elsewhere.
- 39. On information and belief, the '280 Defendants are and have been willfully infringing one or more of the claims of the '280 Patent.
- 40. As a result of the '280 Defendants' infringement of the '280 Patent, Positive Technologies has suffered irreparable harm for which Positive Technologies has no adequate remedy at law. Unless enjoined by this Court, the '280 Defendants' infringement of the '280 Patent will continue and will result in further irreparable harm to Positive Technologies.
- 41. Positive Technologies is entitled to recover damages from the '280 Defendants adequate to compensate for the infringement.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '457 PATENT) Against BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Sharp, Toshiba Consumer Products, and V, Inc.

- 42. Positive Technologies realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 41.
- 43. The '457 Defendants have directly and contributorily infringed, and have induced others to infringe, one or more of the claims of the '457 patent by making, using,

offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products within this district and elsewhere.

- 44. On information and belief, the '457 Defendants are and have been willfully infringing one or more of the claims of the '457 Patent.
- 45. As a result of the '457 Defendants' infringement of the '457 Patent, Positive Technologies has suffered irreparable harm for which Positive Technologies has no adequate remedy at law. Unless enjoined by this Court, the '457 Defendants' infringement of the '457 Patent will continue and will result in further irreparable harm to Positive Technologies.
- 46. Positive Technologies is entitled to recover damages from the '457 Defendants adequate to compensate for the infringement.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '558 PATENT) Against BenQ, JVC, Mitsubishi, NEC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, Sharp, Toshiba Consumer Products and V, Inc.

- 47. Positive Technologies realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 46.
- 48. The '558 Defendants have directly and contributorily infringed, and have induced others to infringe, one or more claims of the '558 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products within this district and elsewhere.
- 49. On information and belief, the '558 Defendants are and have been willfully infringing one or more of the claims of the '558 Patent.
- 50. As a result of the '558 Defendants' infringement of the '558 Patent, Positive Technologies has suffered irreparable harm for which Positive Technologies has no adequate

remedy at law. Unless enjoined by this Court, the '558 Defendants' infringement of the '558 Patent will continue and will result in further irreparable harm to Positive Technologies.

51. Positive Technologies is entitled to recover damages from the '558 Defendants adequate to compensate for the infringement.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '588 PATENT) Against Fujitsu, JVC, Panasonic, Philips, ProView, Regent, Samsung, and Toshiba Consumer Products

- 52. Positive Technologies realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 52.
- 53. The '588 Defendants have directly and contributorily infringed, and have induced others to infringe, one or more claims of the '588 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States infringing products within this district and elsewhere.
- 54. On information and belief, the '588 Defendants are and have been willfully infringing one or more of the claims of the '588 Patent.
- 55. As a result of the '588 Defendants' infringement of the '588 Patent, Positive Technologies has suffered irreparable harm for which Positive Technologies has no adequate remedy at law. Unless enjoined by this Court, the '588 Defendants' infringement of the '588 Patent will continue and will result in further irreparable harm to Positive Technologies.
- 56. Positive Technologies is entitled to recover damages from the '588 Defendants adequate to compensate for the infringement.

DEMAND

WHEREFORE, Positive Technologies respectfully requests the following relief:

- a) That this Court adjudge and decree that Defendants have been and are currently infringing, inducing others to infringe, and committing acts of contributory infringement with respect to the '280, '457, '558 and '588 Patents;
- b) That this Court enter an order that Defendants and its officers, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and those persons acting in concert with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing, inducing others to infringe, and committing acts of contributory infringement with respect to the '280, '457, '558 and '588 Patents;
- c) That this Court award damages to Positive Technologies to compensate it for each of the unlawful actions set forth in Positive Technologies' complaint;
 - d) That this Court award interest on such damages to Positive Technologies;
- e) That this Court treble the damages awarded to Positive Technologies pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and 15 U.S.C. § 15(a) as a consequence of Defendants' willful infringement of the '588 Patent;
- f) That this Court determine that this patent infringement case is exceptional and award Positive Technologies its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
 - g) That this Court award such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues triable thereby.

Dated: May 22, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas F. Poché

Thomas F. Poché

James P. Brogan

Attorney-in-charge
Chad T. Nitta
Carolyn V. Juarez
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP
380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900
Broomfield, CO 80021-8023
Telephone: (720) 566-4000
Facsimile: (720) 566-4099

OF COUNSEL:

Collin M. Maloney Texas State Bar No. 00794219 IRELAND, CARROLL & KELLEY, P.C. 6101 South Broadway, Suite 500 Tyler, TX 75703 Telephone: (903) 561-1600

Telephone: (903) 561-1600 Facsimile: (903) 581-1071

Thomas J. Friel COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

Kevin Zimmer COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP 4401 Eastgate Mall San Diego, CA 92121-1909 Telephone: (858) 550-6000 Facsimile: (858) 550-6420

Thomas F. Poché COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP 1200 19th Street, NW 5th Floor Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 842-7800

Telephone: (202) 842-7800 Facsimile: (202) 842-7899

ATTORNEYS FOR POSITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in

compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT was served on all counsel who are deemed to have

consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)

and Local Rule CV-(5)(e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to

electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by facsimile

and/or U.S. First Class Mail this 22nd day of May, 2007.

The following counsel were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by

email and/or U.S. First Class Mail this 22nd day of May, 2007:

Regent U.S.A.

c/o John W. McIlvaine, Esq.

Webb Ziesenheim Logsdon Orkin & Hanson

436 Seventh Avenue, Suite 700

Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818

jmcilvaine@webblaw.com

V, Inc.

c/o William J. Brown, Jr.

Jones Day

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100

Irvine, CA 92614-8502

wbrown@jonesday.com

/s/ Thomas F. Poché

Thomas F. Poché

272965 v3/CO

15.